# REPORT OF THE SINGLE AUDIT OF THE CHRISTIAN COUNTY FISCAL COURT

For The Fiscal Year Ended June 30, 2005



## CRIT LUALLEN AUDITOR OF PUBLIC ACCOUNTS

www.auditor.ky.gov

105 SEA HERO ROAD, SUITE 2 FRANKFORT, KY 40601-5404 TELEPHONE (502) 573-0050 FACSIMILE (502) 573-0067



#### CRIT LUALLEN Auditor of Public Accounts

To the People of Kentucky Honorable Steven L. Beshear, Governor Jonathan Miller, Secretary Finance and Administration Cabinet Honorable Steve Tribble, Christian County Judge/Executive Members of the Christian County Fiscal Court

#### Independent Auditor's Report

We have audited the accompanying schedule of expenditures of federal awards for the Christian County Fiscal Court for the year ended June 30, 2005. This financial statement is the responsibility of the Christian County Fiscal Court. Our responsibility is to express an opinion on this financial statement based on our audit.

We conducted our audit in accordance with auditing standards generally accepted in the United States of America, the standards applicable to financial audits contained in Government Auditing Standards issued by the Comptroller General of the United States, the Audit Guide for Fiscal Court Audits issued by the Auditor of Public Accounts, Commonwealth of Kentucky, and OMB Circular A-133, Audits of States, Local Governments, and Non-Profit Organizations. Those standards and OMB Circular A-133 require that we plan and perform the audit to obtain reasonable assurance about whether the financial statement is free of material misstatement. An audit includes examining, on a test basis, evidence supporting the amounts and disclosures in the financial statement. An audit also includes assessing the accounting principles used and significant estimates made by management, as well as evaluating the overall financial statement presentation. We believe that our audit provides a reasonable basis for our opinion.

As described in Note 1, Christian County, Kentucky, prepares its financial statements on a prescribed basis of accounting that demonstrates compliance with the modified cash basis, which is a comprehensive basis of accounting other than accounting principles generally accepted in the United States of America.

In our opinion, the schedule of expenditures of federal awards referred to above presents fairly, in all material respects the expenditures of federal awards of Christian County Fiscal Court in conformity with the basis of accounting described in Note 1.

In accordance with Government Auditing Standards, we have also issued our report dated October 22, 2007 on our consideration of Christian County Fiscal Court's internal control over financial reporting and on our tests of its compliance with certain provisions of laws, regulations, contracts, and grant agreements and other matters. The purpose of that report is to describe the scope of our testing of



TELEPHONE 502.573.0050

FACSIMILE 502.573.0067

To the People of Kentucky
Honorable Steve Beshear, Governor
Jonathan Miller, Secretary
Finance and Administration Cabinet
Honorable Steve Tribble, Christian County Judge/Executive
Members of the Christian County Fiscal Court

internal control over financial reporting and compliance and the results of that testing, and not to provide an opinion on the internal control over financial reporting or on compliance. That report is an integral part of an audit performed in accordance with <u>Government Auditing Standards</u> and should be considered in assessing the results of our audit.

Respectfully submitted,

Crit Luallen

Auditor of Public Accounts

October 22, 2007

#### CHRISTIAN COUNTY OFFICIALS

#### For The Year Ended June 30, 2005

Fiscal Court Members:

Steve Tribble County Judge/Executive

William H. Bruce Magistrate Mark E. Cansler Magistrate Thomas C. Jones Magistrate Lewis Everett Croft Magistrate Magistrate Myron Dossett James E. Fleming Magistrate Cleatus Edwin Long Magistrate Howard R. Pettus Magistrate

Other Elected Officials:

J. Michael Foster County Attorney

Lewis L. Leavell, Jr. Jailer

Michael A. Kem County Clerk

Gary Haddock Circuit Court Clerk

William E. Gloyd Sheriff

Mills Foster Property Valuation Administrator

Dorris L. Lamb Coroner

Appointed Personnel:

Frank Mason County Treasurer

Nita K. Leavell Finance Officer



### CHRISTIAN COUNTY SCHEDULE OF EXPENDITURES OF FEDERAL AWARDS

Fiscal Year Ended June 30, 2005

### CHRISTIAN COUNTY SCHEDULE OF EXPENDITURES OF FEDERAL AWARDS

#### Fiscal Year Ended June 30, 2005

Federal Grantor		
Program Title	Pass-Through	
Grant Name (CFDA #)	Grantor's Number	Expenditures
U.S. Department of Homeland Security		
Passed-Through State Department		
of Military Affairs:		
State Homeland Security Grant Program	M-03254738	
(CFDA #97.004)	M-03138124	\$ 121,408
Disaster and Emergency Assistance Grants-	27/4	10.700
Coordinator Salary (CFDA #97.036)	N/A	19,722
Total U. S. Department of Homeland Security		141,130
U.S. Department of Interior		
Passed-Through State -Governor's		
Office for Local Development		
Land and Water Conservation Fund		
(CFDA #15.916)	LWCF-21-01261	14,730
Total U. S. Department of Homeland Security		14,730
U.S. Department of Justice		
Passed-Through State Department Of		
Justice:		
Justice Assistance Grant		
(CFDA #16.592)	2004-LB-BX-392	3,800
Total U. S. Department of Justice		3,800
U.S. Office of Surface Mining		
Passed-Through State Department Of		
Natural Resources:		
Abandoned Mine Land Program		
(CFDA # 15.252)	N/A	97,064
Total U. S. Office of Surface Mining		97,064
U.S. Department of Transportation		
Passed-Through State Department Of		
Transportation		
Intermodal Surface Transportation Efficiency Act		
(CFDA # 20.205)	C-01179783	311,630
Total U.S. Department of Transportation		311,630
Total Expenditures of Federal Awards		\$ 568,354
L		

## CHRISTIAN COUNTY NOTES TO THE SCHEDULE OF EXPENDITURES OF FEDERAL AWARDS Other Supplementary Information

#### Fiscal Year Ended June 30, 2005

Note 1 – The accompanying Schedule of Expenditures of Federal Awards includes the federal grant activity of Christian County, Kentucky and is presented on a modified cash basis of accounting. The information in this schedule is presented in accordance with the requirements of OMB Circular A-133, Audits of States, Local Governments, and Non-Profit Organizations,

### CHRISTIAN COUNTY SCHEDULE OF FINDINGS AND QUESTIONED COSTS

For The Year Ended June 30, 2005

### CHRISTIAN COUNTY SCHEDULE OF FINDINGS AND QUESTIONED COSTS

#### Fiscal Year Ended June 30, 2005

#### A. SUMMARY OF AUDIT RESULTS

- 1. The auditor's report expresses an unqualified opinion on the schedule of expenditures of federal awards of Christian County, Kentucky.
- 2. No reportable conditions relating to the audit of the financial statement are reported in the Independent Auditor's Report.
- 3. No instances of noncompliance material to the financial statement of Christian County were disclosed during the audit.
- 4. No reportable conditions relating to the audit of the major federal awards programs are reported in the Independent Auditor's Report.
- 5. The auditor's report on compliance for the audit of the major federal awards programs for Christian County expresses an unqualified opinion.
- 6. There are no audit findings relative to the major federal awards programs for Christian County reported in Part C of this schedule.
- 7. The program tested as major program was: Intermodal Surface Transportation Efficiency Act (CFDA# 20.205)
- 8. The threshold for distinguishing Type A and B programs was \$300,000.
- 9. Christian County was not determined to be a low-risk auditee.

#### B. FINDINGS - FINANCIAL STATEMENT AUDIT

STATE	T.A	WS	AND	REGIII	ATIONS:	
SIAIL		X V V L7		INDUI.	/*	

None.

#### **REPORTABLE CONDITIONS:**

None.

#### C. FINDINGS AND QUESTIONED COSTS - MAJOR FEDERAL AWARDS PROGRAM AUDIT

None.

#### D. SUMMARY SCHEDULE OF PRIOR YEAR AUDIT FINDINGS

None.



## REPORT ON INTERNAL CONTROL OVER FINANCIAL REPORTING AND ON COMPLIANCE AND OTHER MATTERS BASED ON AN AUDIT OF FINANCIAL STATEMENTS PERFORMED IN ACCORDANCE WITH GOVERNMENT AUDITING STANDARDS



The Honorable Steve Tribble, Christian County Judge/Executive Members of the Christian County Fiscal Court

Report On Internal Control Over Financial Reporting And On Compliance And Other Matters Based On An Audit Of Financial Statements Performed In Accordance With Government Auditing Standards

We have audited the Schedule of Expenditures of Federal Awards (SEFA) of Christian County, Kentucky, as of and for the year ended June 30, 2005, and have issued our report thereon dated October 22, 2007. Christian County's SEFA is prepared in accordance with the modified cash basis of accounting, which is a comprehensive basis of accounting other than generally accepted accounting principles. We conducted our audit in accordance with auditing standards generally accepted in the United States of America and the standards applicable to financial audits contained in Government Auditing Standards issued by the Comptroller General of the United States.

#### Internal Control Over Financial Reporting

In planning and performing our audit, we considered Christian County's internal control over financial reporting in order to determine our auditing procedures for the purpose of expressing our opinions on the SEFA and not to provide an opinion on the internal control over financial reporting. Our consideration of the internal control over financial reporting would not necessarily disclose all matters in the internal control over financial reporting that might be material weaknesses. A material weakness is a reportable condition in which the design or operation of one or more of the internal control components does not reduce to a relatively low level the risk that misstatements caused by error or fraud in amounts that would be material in relation to the financial statements being audited may occur and not be detected within a timely period by employees in the normal course of performing their assigned functions. We noted no matters involving the internal control over financial reporting and its operation that we consider to be material weaknesses.

#### Compliance and Other Matters

As part of obtaining reasonable assurance about whether Christian County's SEFA is free of material misstatement, we performed tests of its compliance with certain provisions of laws, regulations, contracts, and grant agreements, noncompliance with which could have a direct and material effect on the determination of SEFA amounts. However, providing an opinion on compliance with those provisions was not an objective of our audit, and accordingly, we do not express such an opinion. The results of our tests disclosed no instances of noncompliance or other matters that are required to be reported under Government Auditing Standards.



TELEPHONE 502.573.0050

Report On Internal Control Over Financial Reporting And On Compliance And Other Matters Based On An Audit Of Financial Statements Performed In Accordance With Government Auditing Standards (Continued)

This report is intended solely for the information and use of management and the Kentucky Governor's Office for Local Development and is not intended to be and should not be used by anyone other than these specified parties.

Respectfully submitted,

Crit Luallen

Auditor of Public Accounts

October 22, 2007

## REPORT ON COMPLIANCE WITH REQUIREMENTS APPLICABLE TO EACH MAJOR PROGRAM AND ON INTERNAL CONTROL OVER COMPLIANCE IN ACCORDANCE WITH OMB CIRCULAR A-133



### CRIT LUALLEN AUDITOR OF PUBLIC ACCOUNTS

The Honorable Steve Tribble, Christian County Judge/Executive Members of the Christian County Fiscal Court

Report On Compliance With Requirements Applicable To Each Major Program And On Internal Control Over Compliance In Accordance With OMB Circular A-133

#### Compliance

We have audited the compliance of Christian County, Kentucky, with the types of compliance requirements described in the *U.S. Office of Management and Budget (OMB) Circular A-133 Compliance Supplement* that are applicable to each of its major federal programs for the year ended June 30, 2005. Christian County's major federal programs are identified in the summary of auditor's results section of the accompanying schedule of findings and questioned costs. Compliance with the requirements of laws, regulations, contracts, and grants applicable to each of its major federal programs is the responsibility of Christian County's management. Our responsibility is to express an opinion on Christian County's compliance based on our audit.

We conducted our audit of compliance in accordance with auditing standards generally accepted in the United States of America; the standards applicable to financial audits contained in *Government Auditing Standards*, issued by the Comptroller General of the United States; and OMB Circular A-133, *Audits of States, Local Governments, and Non-Profit Organizations*. Those standards and OMB Circular A-133 require that we plan and perform the audit to obtain reasonable assurance about whether noncompliance with the types of compliance requirements referred to above that could have a direct and material effect on a major federal program occurred. An audit includes examining, on a test basis, evidence about Christian County's compliance with those requirements and performing such other procedures as we considered necessary in the circumstances. We believe that our audit provides a reasonable basis for our opinion. Our audit does not provide a legal determination of Christian County's compliance with those requirements.

In our opinion, Christian County complied, in all material respects, with the requirements referred to above that are applicable to each of its major federal programs for the year ended June 30, 2005.

#### Internal Control Over Compliance

The management of Christian County is responsible for establishing and maintaining effective internal control over compliance with requirements of laws, regulations, contracts, and grants applicable to federal programs. In planning and performing our audit, we considered Christian County's internal control over compliance with requirements that could have a direct and material effect on a major federal program in order to determine our auditing procedures for the purpose of expressing our opinion on compliance and to test and report on internal control over compliance in accordance with OMB Circular A-133.

Report On Compliance With Requirements Applicable To Each Major Program And On Internal Control Over Compliance In Accordance With OMB Circular A-133 (Continued)

#### <u>Internal Control Over Compliance</u> (Continued)

Our consideration of the internal control over compliance would not necessarily disclose all matters in the internal control that might be material weaknesses. A material weakness is a reportable condition in which the design or operation of one or more of the internal control components does not reduce to a relatively low level the risk that noncompliance with applicable requirements of laws, regulations, contracts, and grants caused by error or fraud that would be material in relation to a major federal program being audited may occur and not be detected within a timely period by employees in the normal course of performing their assigned functions. We noted no matters involving the internal control over compliance and its operation that we consider to be material weaknesses.

This report is intended solely for the information and use of management, federal awarding agencies, and pass-through entities and is not intended to be and should not be used by anyone other than these specified parties.

Respectfully submitted,

Crit Luallen

Auditor of Public Accounts

October 22, 2007